

Communicating Under Pressure

Body Language, Tone, Timing and Location in High-Consequence Environments

Communication is not only what was said. It is what the room, channel, sequence and body told people the message meant.

PREPARED BY

Manu Reynolds — Director

Buildit Admin Solutions Pty Ltd | ABN 35 677 590 082

0406 066 652 | manu@builditadminsolutions.com.au | builditadminsolutions.com.au

REVISION 1.0 | AUGUST 2026 | DRAFT FOR CLIENT REVIEW

Document Status and Use

CONTROLLED USE *This is an external Buildit development resource. It is not company policy, an industrial instrument, a competency matrix, legal advice or a guarantee of promotion, remuneration or retention. Adapt it to the client's delegations, systems, privacy requirements, project controls and formal people processes.*

Purpose

A field manual for delivering difficult messages, reading the room, choosing the channel, protecting status and creating a reliable record when commercial pressure is high.

Audience

All project staff, particularly people moving into review, negotiation and management roles.

How to Use This Manual

1. Read the relevant chapter before a live conversation, review or decision—not after the consequence has already landed.
2. Apply the method to current project work. The suite is designed to change observable behaviour, not merely increase awareness.
3. Record the decision, action, owner, evidence and review date produced by the exercise.
4. Use the related manuals where the issue crosses career, communication, judgement, leadership or stakeholder boundaries.

Contents

- 5. 1. The Message Has More Than Words
- 6. 2. Read the Room Before You Speak
- 7. 3. Tone, Pace and Physical Composure
- 8. 4. Location Changes the Meaning
- 9. 5. Choose the Channel and Sequence
- 10. 6. Raise Bad News Without Creating Panic
- 11. 7. Disagree Without Creating a Loyalty Problem
- 12. 8. Adapt to Stakeholder and Culture
- 13. 9. Close the Loop

1. The Message Has More Than Words

Tone, pace, posture, facial expression, timing and location can reverse the apparent meaning of accurate words.

Under pressure, people interpret threat before detail. A technically correct message delivered with the wrong physical or social cues can create resistance that the content alone did not require.

Communication is the transfer of meaning, confidence and action—not merely information.

Communication is not presentation polish added after the work. It is part of the work. Good communication allows other people to understand the issue, make a decision and act with less confusion.

At early levels this means clear writing, accurate records, concise updates and asking questions before proceeding on a false assumption. At senior levels it means framing complexity, tailoring detail to the audience, managing expectations and creating shared understanding across people who may want different outcomes.

The strongest communicators do not simply transmit information they organise it into packages and distribute it to the right stakeholders, in the right order at the right time. They distinguish the decision from the background, the material risk from the noise and the recommended action from the alternatives, and they listen well enough to understand what the other person is actually trying to solve.

The Channel Is Part of the Message

The same words land differently in an email, a phone call, a meeting room and a conversation on site. Match the channel to the consequence. Use writing to create a record and organise detail; use voice or face-to-face contact when tone, trust, resistance or ambiguity matter. Difficult news should rarely arrive naked in an email when a short conversation could provide the context needed to understand it.

A useful rule is: talk first when meaning may be contested; write afterwards when the record matters.

Body Language and Tone

People assess the message and the messenger at the same time. A calm pace, open posture, steady eye contact and a level tone make difficult information easier to hear. Folded arms, visible impatience, a smirk, looking at your phone or speaking too quickly can contradict otherwise sensible words. Senior presence is not theatrical confidence. It is congruence: your face, posture, tone and language all communicate that you understand the stakes and can remain constructive inside them.

When the room becomes tense, lower your pace and volume. Composure is often more persuasive than force.

Read the Room and the Location

Before speaking, notice where you are, who can hear, who has status in the room, whose ego is exposed and what each person needs from the interaction. Correcting a manager in front of a client, challenging a subcontractor in front of their workforce or raising confidential performance feedback in an open office may be factually justified and professionally clumsy. Location is not background; it changes the relational cost of the message.

Choose the room that gives the truth the best chance of being heard without needlessly making someone defend their position.

Listen for Meaning, Not Your Turn

Listening is visible. Let the person finish. Do not rehearse your rebuttal while they are speaking. Ask one clarifying question before asserting your answer, and play back the issue in your own words when the stakes are high. Often the stated objection is not the real concern: a dispute about a number may actually be about precedent, cash flow, authority or fear of looking weak. The communicator who identifies the underlying concern can solve what the conversation is actually about.

You have not communicated because you spoke clearly; you have communicated when the other person has understood.

Control the Landing

End material conversations by confirming what has been decided, what remains open, who owns the next action and when it is due. Follow with a short written record proportionate to the risk. This is not bureaucracy. It protects shared understanding after memory, pressure and self-interest begin editing the conversation.

The last minute of a conversation often determines whether the previous thirty minutes produce anything.

Common mistakes

What good looks like

14. You choose the channel and setting deliberately rather than defaulting to email.
15. Your tone, pace and body language support the meaning of your words.
16. You notice who is present, who can hear and whose position is exposed.
17. You listen long enough to identify the concern beneath the stated position.
18. You make the decision, action, owner and timing explicit before the conversation ends.
19. You create a written record proportionate to the risk after important verbal conversations.
20. Using volume of detail to avoid making a clear recommendation.
21. Escalating a problem without explaining the consequence or proposed next step.
22. Waiting for certainty when an early warning would be more useful.
23. Treating every audience as though they need the same level of detail or language.
24. Assuming silence means agreement or understanding.
25. Thinking all emails are going to be read in a timely manner, or in the level of detail you are expecting.
26. Not speaking to people in person or by phone to provide the context or lens in which something should be understood.

EXAMPLE — *One page, one decision* Asked to brief the commercial manager on a cost overrun by the PM, a CA first sent a fourteen-page cost report with no covering summary. It sat there unread for a week. Coached to lead with the decision, they resent a five-line note: the forecast overrun, the two drivers, the recommended action, and the one approval required with the full report attached for those who wanted it. They then called up the CM with an overview and answered a few stress-test questions. The decision was made in five minutes.

KEY TAKEAWAY *The purpose of professional communication is not to display how much you know. It is to help the right people understand what matters and what happens next quickly and accurately with the detail to backup your recommendation if they want to dig deeper to ensure the information is accurate.*

2. Read the Room Before You Speak

The first task is to identify the emotional and authority conditions already present.

Notice who has status, who is embarrassed, who is defending prior decisions, who lacks information and who must carry the consequence after the meeting.

Operating Checks

27. Observe pace, interruptions, crossed arms, withdrawal, forced humour and sudden silence.
28. Distinguish anger from fear, embarrassment, loss of control or pressure from above.
29. Do not make a person process unexpected bad news while performing authority in front of an audience.
30. If the room is already flooded, narrow the immediate decision and move detail to a controlled follow-up.

Scene — challenged in front of the team

EXAMPLE *A Project Director rejects the commercial forecast in a crowded meeting. The Commercial Manager does not debate every assumption publicly. They state the material movement, confirm that the detail is available, ask for ten minutes immediately after the meeting and then issue a short written record. The response protects the decision without forcing a status contest.*

3. Tone, Pace and Physical Composure

Composure is controlled clarity; dominance is an attempt to control the other person.

Slow down enough to be understood without sounding theatrical. Keep hands visible, shoulders open and facial expression congruent with the seriousness of the message. Volume should reduce as consequence increases.

How defensiveness presents

Defensiveness can look like speaking faster, over-explaining, interrupting, rigid posture, forced certainty, sarcasm or reaching immediately for documents. Name the question, breathe, answer the narrow point and avoid turning every challenge into a referendum on competence.

Silence is information

Silence after a material statement may mean calculation, disagreement, embarrassment or a request for more. Do not rush to fill it with concessions. Let the person process, then ask what part they want to test.

4. Location Changes the Meaning

A public correction tests status; a private correction can test the issue.

The bullpen, corridor, site walk, closed office, Teams call and formal meeting each create different expectations of confidentiality, authority and finality.

Operating Checks

31. Move personal feedback and foreseeable embarrassment out of open work areas.
32. Use a site walk for exploratory discussion when a formal room would overstate the issue.
33. Use a closed room where emotion, confidentiality or authority must be contained.
34. Do not use a formal notice as the first moment a relationship stakeholder learns of a material issue, unless urgency or the contract requires it.

Scene — cornered in the open office

EXAMPLE *A subcontractor approaches loudly and demands an answer in front of the team. The CA acknowledges the issue without arguing, says the record deserves a proper review and moves the conversation to a meeting room with the relevant package lead. The physical move changes the conversation from performance to problem-solving.*

5. Choose the Channel and Sequence

The channel is part of the message and the sequence determines whether people feel informed or ambushed.

Verbal channels allow nuance and testing. Written channels create precision and evidence. Formal notices protect rights. Mature practice uses them in a deliberate sequence.

Bad news becomes more useful when it arrives with consequence, ownership and a proposed next step.

Approvers dislike surprises because surprise removes options and damages their ability to manage upward. That does not mean escalating every uncertainty. A good early warning explains what has changed, why it matters, when it becomes material and what is being done next.

Do not wait for complete certainty when the decision window is closing. Label the confidence level and update as evidence improves. Equally, do not repeatedly raise vague concern without analysis; that trains people to ignore you.

35. State the new information.
36. Explain the potential consequence and decision date.
37. Separate current fact from developing risk.
38. Name the owner and immediate control.
39. Say when the next update will be provided.

The approval begins before the paper arrives.

Material or contested issues often benefit from a short conversation before formal submission. This gives the approver context, tests misunderstanding and avoids an important position arriving cold by email. The written record should then confirm the decision and basis proportionately.

Read the location. A challenge raised in front of a client, a correction made in an open office or a confidential issue discussed within earshot of others may be factually justified and professionally clumsy. Choose the setting that gives the truth the best chance of being heard without needlessly exposing someone's position.

Sequence for Material Issues

Talk first when meaning may be contested; write afterwards when the record matters.

40. Pre-brief the person carrying the decision.
41. Consult people whose evidence or accountability is affected.
42. Issue the concise written submission with supporting detail.
43. Answer stress-test questions without becoming defensive.
44. Record the decision, conditions, actions, owners and timing.

Channel Selection

Need	Primary channel	Record
Explore / test	Private conversation or call	Short note if material
Coordinate	Teams / meeting	Actions and owners
Recommend	Decision brief and pre-brief	Approval record
Protect rights	Contractual notice	Controlled evidence chain
Escalate misconduct / safety	Required formal channel	Restricted record

6. Raise Bad News Without Creating Panic

Early warning should increase control, not merely transfer anxiety upward.

Lead with the material movement, what is known, what remains uncertain, the consequence, current containment and the decision or support required.

Working Sequence

45. Pre-brief the immediate manager before the formal forum where reasonably possible.
46. State the movement and consequence in one or two sentences.
47. Separate confirmed fact from forecast range and assumption.
48. Explain what is being done now and what cannot safely wait.
49. Name the decision, owner and next update time.

Scene — forecast deterioration before board review

EXAMPLE *The Commercial Manager calls the Project Director before the board pack is circulated: 'The forecast has moved by \$2.4 million, primarily in two packages. \$1.5 million is supported by current evidence; the balance is a risk range. We have frozen discretionary commitments, scheduled package reviews and need a decision on the recovery assumption by 3 pm.' The message is serious without being vague or theatrical.*

7. Disagree Without Creating a Loyalty Problem

Challenge the position without forcing the person to defend their status.

Use the shared objective, the evidence and the consequence. State where you agree, isolate the disputed assumption and offer the next test.

Challenge the reasoning firmly while protecting the decision process.

Thinking like the approver does not require agreement. If you believe a position is wrong, explain the issue, evidence, consequence and alternative clearly. Separate the technical disagreement from frustration about hierarchy, personality or previous decisions.

Once the authorised decision is made, distinguish between matters you can professionally implement, matters requiring a reservation on the record and matters you cannot lawfully or ethically support. Do not use passive resistance, selective evidence or vague drafting to fight a decision indirectly.

EXAMPLE — The recommendation was rejected A senior CA recommended a conservative assessment. The commercial manager chose a firmer position after considering client strategy and wider precedent. The CA recorded the evidence, the manager's decision and the conditions for review, then implemented it professionally. When new evidence emerged, the position could be revisited without anyone rewriting history.

Operating Checks

50. Say 'the record does not yet support' rather than 'you are wrong'.
51. Separate a private pre-brief from the formal position.
52. Do not recruit an audience to strengthen a weak argument.
53. Offer a reversible next step where certainty is not available.

Scene — manager misunderstood the recommendation

EXAMPLE *Instead of correcting the manager in the steering meeting, the CA asks to clarify one assumption: 'I may not have made the distinction clearly. My recommendation is to reserve the right now and negotiate the valuation after the programme impact is tested.' The issue is corrected without making the manager's misunderstanding the event.*

8. Adapt to Stakeholder and Culture

Respect is consistent; wording, pace, directness and context should be adapted.

A peer may need collaboration, a manager needs reduced uncertainty, a Project Director needs consequence and decision, a client needs objective and governance context, and a subcontractor needs a position they can act on. Cultural and personality differences affect how silence, eye contact, interruption, directness and hierarchy are interpreted.

Operating Checks

54. Ask rather than assume how a person prefers difficult information to be raised.
55. Use plain language with non-specialists and do not hide behind contract jargon.
56. Check understanding without patronising: 'What are you taking from that?'
57. Do not label a quiet style as weak or a direct style as hostile without pattern and context.

9. Close the Loop

A reliable written record preserves the decision without rewriting the emotional history of the conversation.

After a material verbal exchange, record the issue, agreed position, actions, owners and dates. Avoid blame-loaded adjectives and statements about motive.

A useful conversation ends with a decision, an owner, a date or clearer understanding.

Every worthwhile conversation should leave a project, client or colleague better positioned than before. Progress may be a decision, an action list, a resolved issue or simply greater clarity. The habit that signals readiness is refusing to let discussions end in a vague fog of good intentions.

This does not require dominating meetings. Often it is the quiet question 'so who owns this, and by when?' that converts talk into movement. People come to rely on those who reliably close the loop.

EXAMPLE — The meeting that prevented a delay claim A design coordination meeting was drifting toward a familiar non-outcome: everyone agreed the slab penetrations needed resolving, no one owned it. A contract administrator summarised the three open items, named an owner and a date for each, and circulated a four-line minute that afternoon, followed by a chase up on the day they were due. The penetrations were resolved before they hit the critical path. The same clash on the previous stage, left un-minuted, had generated a fortnight's delay and a significant subcontractor claim.

EXAMPLE — Resetting client expectations early Facing a likely two-week slip on a key milestone, a project team debated whether to raise it now or hope to recover. The commercial lead argued for telling the client immediately, with a recovery plan and revised forecast attached. The client was unhappy but not surprised and later cited that early honesty was the reason they trusted the team through a far larger issue months later.

KEY TAKEAWAY A conversation that ends without a decision, an action or greater clarity has usually cost time rather than saved it.

Pressure Communication Review

Prompt	Notes
What does this person need to know now?	
What should be private?	
Who should be pre-briefed?	
What channel and location fit?	
What decision or action is required?	
What written record will close the loop?	

Commitments and Review

Use this page to convert the manual into a small number of observable commitments. A manual has no value if the reader cannot identify what will be done differently, where it will be practised and what evidence will prove the change.

Commitment	Practice ground	Evidence / reviewer	Review date

Related Buildit Manuals

- 58. 02 — Think Like the Approver
- 59. 07 — Managing Your Line Manager
- 60. 17 — Stakeholder Pathway and Communication Routing Playbook

Closing Position

Communication is not only what was said. It is what the room, channel, sequence and body told people the message meant.

Notes
